

**Problem 1** ((a)–(c) easy, (d) a bit tricky)

We have defined the operator norm as  $\|A\| = \sup_{|\phi\rangle} \frac{|A|\phi\rangle|}{\|\phi\rangle|}$ . Show that

(a)  $|A|\phi\rangle| \leq \|A\| \|\phi\rangle|$ .

(b) For  $U$  and  $V$  unitary,  $\|VAU\| = \|A\|$ .

(c)  $\|AB\| \leq \|A\| \|B\|$ .

(d) Show that  $\|A \otimes \mathbb{1}\| = \|A\|$ .

(Hint: The “ $\geq$ ” direction should be easy. To prove the “ $\leq$ ” direction, use that any normalized vector on a bipartite system can be written as  $|\phi\rangle = \sum_i \sqrt{p_i} |\alpha_i\rangle |\beta_i\rangle$ , with  $p_i \geq 0$ ,  $\sum p_i = 1$ , and  $\langle \alpha_i | \alpha_j \rangle = \langle \beta_i | \beta_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ .)

**Problem 2** (easy to medium)

For any operator  $A$  on a lattice  $\Lambda$ , we can construct an operator  $\tilde{A}$  which acts only a sub-region  $Z$  through

$$\tilde{A} := \int dU U A U^\dagger .$$

Here,  $\int dU$  is an integral over all unitary matrices acting on  $\Lambda \setminus Z$ , where the integral is over the so-called Haar measure (or unitarily invariant measure), which means that the integral has the property that

$$\int df(U) = \int df(VU) = \int df(UV)$$

for any unitary  $V$  acting on  $\Lambda \setminus Z$ .

(a) Show that the unitary invariance of the integral implies that  $V\tilde{A} = \tilde{A}V$  for any unitary  $V$  acting on  $\Lambda \setminus Z$ .

It turns out that this property implies that  $\tilde{A}$  is of the form  $\tilde{A}_Z \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda \setminus Z}$ , i.e.,  $\tilde{A}$  is an operator supported on  $Z$ . This is a consequence of Schur’s lemma (a fundamental lemma in representation theory), and we are not going to prove this here. Rather, we want to show that  $\tilde{A}$  provides a good approximation to  $A$ , given a Lieb-Robinson type bound on commutators.

(b) Consider operators  $A_X(t)$  and  $B_Y$  as they appear in the Lieb-Robinson bound, let  $K_l(X)$  be the circle of radius  $l$  around  $X$ , and define  $\tilde{A}_X(t)$  as above with  $U$  supported in  $\Gamma \setminus K_l(X)$ . Show that

$$\|\tilde{A}_X(t) - A_X(t)\| \leq \int dU \| [A_X(t), U] \|$$

and argue how this shows that  $A_X(t)$  is well approximated by an operator  $\tilde{A}_X(t)$  which is supported in  $K_l(X)$  given a Lieb-Robinson bound holds.

(Hint: Use that  $UAU^\dagger = A + U[A, U^\dagger]$ .)

**Problem 3** (medium)

Show that the Lieb-Robinson bound given in the Lecture gives rise to the following bound (under the same conditions as the original Lieb-Robinson bound): There exists a velocity  $v$  such that for all  $t \leq l/v$ , it holds that

$$\| [A_X(t), B_Y] \| \leq \frac{vt}{l} g(l) |X| \|A_X\| \|B_Y\| ,$$

where  $l = d(X, Y)$ , and  $g(l)$  decays exponentially with  $l$ . This is, outside the “light cone”  $l = vt$ , the correlations decay exponentially with the distance (and linearly with the “angle”  $vt/l$ ).

(Hint: Choose a velocity  $v = \alpha(2s/\mu)$  with  $\alpha > 1$ , and use  $e^x - 1 \leq xe^x$ .)

How does the rate of the exponential decay of  $g(l)$  depend on the chosen velocity  $v$ , i.e., on the  $\alpha$  above?