

Is  $\rho_A$  uniquely determined by

$$\text{tr}[\Pi \rho_A] = \langle \psi |_{AB} \Pi \otimes I | \psi \rangle_{AB} ?$$

Yes:  $\text{tr}[X^\dagger Y]$  is scalar product, & overlap of  $\rho_A$  w/ all herm.  $\Pi$  determines herm. part of  $\rho_A$  entirely!

(Consequence: All numbers in  $\rho_A$  meaningful  $\rightarrow$  no phase ambiguity!)

What is  $\rho_A$  for pure state  $|\phi\rangle_A$ ?

$$\langle \phi | \Pi | \phi \rangle = \text{tr}[\langle \phi | \Pi | \phi \rangle] = \text{tr}[\Pi |\phi\rangle\langle\phi|]$$

$$\Rightarrow \rho = |\phi\rangle\langle\phi| \quad (\text{projector onto } |\phi\rangle).$$

Partial trace:

Given general state  $\rho_{AB}$  in  $A+B$  (e.g.  $\rho_{AB} = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ ), what is descr. of meas  $\Pi_A$  in  $A$ ?

$$\text{tr}[(\Pi \otimes I) \rho_{AB}] = \sum_{i'j', ij'} \langle ij' | \Pi \otimes I | i'j' \rangle \langle i'j' | \rho_{AB} | ij' \rangle$$

$$= \sum \langle i | \Pi | i' \rangle \langle i'j' | \rho_{AB} | ij' \rangle = \text{tr}[\Pi \cdot \rho_A],$$

Is  $\rho_A$  uniquely determined by

$$\text{tr}[\Pi \rho_A] = \langle \psi |_{AB} \Pi \otimes I | \psi \rangle_{AB} ?$$

Yes:  $\text{tr}[X^\dagger Y]$  is scalar product, & overlap of  $\rho_A$  w/ all herm.  $\Pi$  determines herm. part of  $\rho_A$  entirely!

(Consequence: All numbers in  $\rho_A$  meaningful  $\rightarrow$  no phase ambiguity!)

What is  $\rho_A$  for pure state  $|\phi\rangle_A$ ?

$$\langle \phi | \Pi | \phi \rangle = \text{tr}[\langle \phi | \Pi | \phi \rangle] = \text{tr}[\Pi |\phi\rangle\langle\phi|]$$

$$\Rightarrow \rho = |\phi\rangle\langle\phi| \quad (\text{projector onto } |\phi\rangle).$$

Partial trace:

Given general state  $\rho_{AB}$  in  $A+B$  (e.g.  $\rho_{AB} = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ ), what is descr. of meas  $\Pi_A$  in  $A$ ?

$$\text{tr}[(\Pi \otimes I) \rho_{AB}] = \sum_{i'j', ij'} \langle ij' | \Pi \otimes I | i'j' \rangle \langle i'j' | \rho_{AB} | ij' \rangle$$

$$= \sum \langle i | \Pi | i' \rangle \langle i'j' | \rho_{AB} | ij' \rangle = \text{tr}[\Pi \cdot \rho_A],$$

When we def. the partial trace

(21)

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_A &= \sum_j |i'\rangle \langle i'j| \rho_{AB} |ij\rangle \langle i| \\ &= \sum_j (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \langle j|_B) (\rho_{AB}) (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes |j\rangle_B) \\ &= \sum_j \langle j|_B \rho_{AB} |j\rangle_B \\ &=: \underline{\underline{\text{tr}_B \rho_{AB}}}\end{aligned}$$

(In components:  $(\text{tr}_B \rho_{AB})_{ii'} = \sum_j (\rho_{AB})_{(ij)} (i'j)$ )

Is any density matrix physical?

Take  $\rho = \sum \lambda_i |\phi_i\rangle\langle\phi_i|$  eigenval. decomp.; and

let  $|\psi\rangle_{AB} = \sum_i \sqrt{\lambda_i} |\phi_i\rangle_A |i\rangle_B$  ("purification" of  $\rho$ )

$$\begin{aligned}\Rightarrow \text{tr}_B [|\psi\rangle_{AB} \langle\psi|_{AB}] &= \text{tr}_B \left[ \sum \sqrt{\lambda_i} \sqrt{\lambda_j} |\phi_i\rangle\langle\phi_j| \otimes |i\rangle\langle j| \right] \\ &= \sum \lambda_i |\phi_i\rangle\langle\phi_i| = \rho \Rightarrow \underline{\underline{\text{yes}}}\checkmark\end{aligned}$$

Density matrix can serve as alternative definition of state.

# Ensemble interpretation of density matrix

(22)

Consider  $|\psi\rangle_{AB} = \alpha|00\rangle + \beta|11\rangle$

$$\Rightarrow \rho_A = \begin{pmatrix} |\alpha|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & |\beta|^2 \end{pmatrix} = |\alpha|^2 |0\rangle\langle 0| + |\beta|^2 |1\rangle\langle 1|$$

$$\text{tr}[\pi \rho_A] = |\alpha|^2 \text{tr}[\pi |0\rangle\langle 0|] + |\beta|^2 \text{tr}[\pi |1\rangle\langle 1|]$$

$\Rightarrow$  Can be interpreted as having  $|0\rangle$  w/ prob.  $p_0 = |\alpha|^2$

&  $|1\rangle$  w/ prob.  $p_1 = |\beta|^2$ . "ensemble interpretation"

$\Rightarrow$  Is this consistent w/ pure state  $|\psi\rangle_{AB}$ ?

Let B do proj. meas. in 2 basis:

$$\begin{array}{l} |\psi\rangle = \alpha|00\rangle + \beta|11\rangle \\ \begin{array}{l} p_0 = |\alpha|^2 \rightarrow |\psi_0\rangle_A = |0\rangle_A \\ \text{2 meas.} \\ \text{on B} \\ p_1 = |\beta|^2 \rightarrow |\psi_1\rangle_A = |1\rangle_A \end{array} \end{array}$$

$\Rightarrow$  Alice doesn't know outcome  $\Rightarrow$  ensemble

$$\{(p_0; |0\rangle), (p_1; |1\rangle)\} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} |\alpha|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & |\beta|^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

(Note: Bob's description is different: he knows outcome and would describe his state as either  $|0\rangle\langle 0|$  or  $|1\rangle\langle 1|$ )

But: Bob could also meas. in  $|\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle \pm |1\rangle)$  (23)   
 6 ans!

$$|\psi\rangle = \alpha|00\rangle + \beta|11\rangle$$

X meas.

$$P_+ = \frac{|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$P_- = \frac{|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$|\psi_+\rangle_A = \frac{\alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle}{|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2}$$

$$|\psi_-\rangle_A = \frac{\alpha|0\rangle - \beta|1\rangle}{|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2}$$

Not orthogonal!

Different ensemble  $\rho_A = P_+ |\psi_+\rangle\langle\psi_+| + P_- |\psi_-\rangle\langle\psi_-|$

for same state  $\Rightarrow$  ens. interpretation ambiguous!

(Number of terms can vary ( $\rightarrow$  HW!), non-ortho. states as  $|\psi_{\pm}\rangle, \dots$ )

How are diff. ensembles related?

Note: Not orthogonal,   
 just  $\langle\psi_i|\psi_i\rangle = 1$

Theorem: Let  $\rho = \sum p_i |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i| = \sum g_j |\phi_j\rangle\langle\phi_j|$

Then, there exists a unitary  $U = (u_{ij})$  s.t.

$$\sqrt{p_i} |\psi_i\rangle = \sum_j u_{ij} \sqrt{g_j} |\phi_j\rangle,$$

and vice versa. (If there are less  $j$ 's than  $i$ 's, pad with zeros, and vice versa.)

Proof: " $\Leftarrow$ ": let  $\sqrt{p_i} |\psi_i\rangle = \sum_j u_{ij} \sqrt{q_j} |\phi_j\rangle$ . (24)

Then  $\sum_i p_i |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i| = \sum_i \left( \sum_j u_{ij} \sqrt{q_j} |\phi_j\rangle \right) \left( \sum_{j'} u_{ij'}^* \sqrt{q_{j'}} \langle \phi_{j'}| \right)$

$$= \sum_{j, j'} \sqrt{q_j q_{j'}} |\phi_j\rangle \langle \phi_{j'}| \underbrace{\left( \sum_i u_{ij'}^* u_{ij} \right)}_{= \delta_{j, j'}}$$
$$= \sum_j q_j |\phi_j\rangle \langle \phi_j|.$$

" $\Rightarrow$ ": Homework / see later (equiv. of purification).

#### 4. Schmidt decomposition and purifications

Given  $|\psi\rangle_{AB}$  separable, let

$$\text{tr}_B |\psi\rangle \langle \psi| = \rho_A = \sum_i p_i |i\rangle_A \langle i|_A$$

with  $|i\rangle_A$  eigenvectors (ONB) ("abuse" of notation...)

Choose some ONB  $|a_j\rangle_B$  of  $B$ , expand

$$|\psi\rangle_{AB} = \sum_{i, j} c_{ij} |i\rangle_A |a_j\rangle_B$$
$$= \sum_i |i\rangle_A \left( \sum_j c_{ij} |a_j\rangle_B \right) =: |s_i\rangle; \quad \underline{\underline{\text{no ONB}}}$$

$$\dots = \sum |i\rangle_A |6_i\rangle_B$$

We have  $\sum_i p_i |i\rangle_A \langle i| = \text{tr}_B |4\rangle\langle 4| = \text{tr}_B \left( \sum_{ii'} |i\rangle_A \langle i'|_A \otimes |6_i\rangle_B \langle 6_{i'}|_B \right)$

$$= \sum_{ii'} |i\rangle_A \langle i'|_A \otimes \text{tr}(|6_i\rangle_B \langle 6_{i'}|_B)$$

$$= \sum_{ii'} \langle 6_{i'} | 6_i \rangle \cdot |i\rangle_A \langle i'|_A$$

Since  $|i\rangle_A$  is basis (lin. indep.) in space of matrices:

$$\Rightarrow \langle 6_{i'} | 6_i \rangle = \delta_{ii'} p_i$$

$$\Rightarrow |i\rangle_B := \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_i}} |6_i\rangle \text{ is } \underline{\text{ONB for B}}$$

different basis than  $|i\rangle_A$  ( $\rightarrow$  watch out!)

Schmidt decomposition:

Any  $|4\rangle_{AB}$  can be written as

$$|4\rangle_{AB} = \sum_i \lambda_i |i\rangle_A |i\rangle_B$$

with ONBs  $|i\rangle_A$  &  $|i\rangle_B$ . The  $\lambda_i = \sqrt{p_i} \geq 0$  are called Schmidt coefficients.

Note:  $\rho_B = \text{tr}_A |\psi\rangle\langle\psi| = \sum_i \lambda_i^2 |e_i\rangle_B \langle e_i|_B$

$\Rightarrow |e_i\rangle_B$  eigenvectors of  $\rho_B$ !

$\Rightarrow$  If  $\rho_i$  non-degen.: Schmidt decomp. obtained by pairing eigenvectors of  $\rho_A$  &  $\rho_B$ .

Important consequence: For pure states  $|\psi\rangle_{AB}$ ,  $\rho_A$  and  $\rho_B$  have the same eigenvalues!

How is Schmidt dec. related to other expansions?

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum C_{ij} |x_i\rangle_A |y_j\rangle_B$$

$$= \sum \lambda_k |k\rangle_A |k\rangle_B$$

$\swarrow$        $\nwarrow$       some ONBs  
 ONBs

$|x_i\rangle_A, |y_j\rangle_B, |k\rangle_A, |k\rangle_B$  ONBs

$\Rightarrow \exists$  unitaries  $u_{ik}, v_{jk}$  s.t.

$$|k\rangle_A = \sum u_{ik} |x_i\rangle_A ; |k\rangle_B = \sum v_{jk}^* |y_j\rangle_B$$

(pad w/ zeros if necessary...)



$$\Rightarrow \sum c_{ij} |x_i\rangle_A |y_j\rangle_B = \sum \lambda_k u_{ik} v_{jk}^* |x_i\rangle_A |y_j\rangle_B$$

lin. indep. of  $|x_i\rangle_A |y_j\rangle_B$

$$\Rightarrow c_{ij} = \sum_k \lambda_k u_{ik} v_{jk}^*$$

$$\text{or } C = U \cdot D \cdot V^\dagger \quad (C \equiv (c_{ij}))$$

$$\text{with } U, V \text{ unitary, and } D = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & 0 \\ & \dots & & \\ & & \lambda_n & \\ 0 & & & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

"Singular value decomposition" (SVD) of  $C$

(Derivation of SVD ( $\rightarrow$  HW):  $U$  diagonalizes  $CC^\dagger$ ,  $V$  etc  
 $\Leftrightarrow$  derivation of Schmidt decomp.!) )

Remark: Any two states  $|\phi\rangle, |\psi\rangle$  w/ ident. Schmidt coeffs are related by local unitaries, i.e.

$$\exists U, V: |\phi\rangle = U \otimes V |\psi\rangle.$$

$\Rightarrow$  the  $\lambda_i$  contain all non-local properties,

$$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots$$