

3. Mixed States

(18)

Consider bipart. state $|\psi\rangle_{AB} = \sum c_{ij} |i\rangle|j\rangle$

We have only access to A.

→ How can we characterize measurement on A?

Meas. Π on A \iff meas. $\Pi_A \otimes \mathbb{1}_B$ on A+B.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi | \Pi_A \otimes \mathbb{1}_B | \psi \rangle &= \sum c_{ij}^* \langle i' | \langle j' | (\Pi_A \otimes \mathbb{1}_B) | i \rangle | j \rangle c_{ij} \\ &= \sum c_{ij}^* c_{ij} \langle i' | \Pi_A | i \rangle \underbrace{\langle j' | j \rangle}_{= \delta_{j'j}} \\ &= \sum_{ii'} \left(\sum_j c_{ij}^* c_{ij} \right) \langle i' | \Pi_A | i \rangle = (*) \end{aligned}$$

Define ρ_A ($d_A \times d_A$ matrix) via $(\rho_A)_{ii'} = \sum_j c_{ij}^* c_{ij} = CC^\dagger$

(with $C = (c_{ij})_{ij}$), or equiv. $\rho_A = \sum_{ij} c_{ij}^* c_{ij} |i\rangle \langle i'|$

... (*) = $\text{tr}[\rho_A \Pi]$,

with the trace $\text{tr}(X) = \sum \langle k | X | k \rangle$. DNB!

Note: The trace is cyclic: $\text{tr}(AB) = \sum_k \langle k | AB | k \rangle$

$$= \sum_{k\ell} \langle k | A | \ell \rangle \langle \ell | B | k \rangle = \sum_{\ell k} \langle \ell | B | k \rangle \langle k | A | \ell \rangle$$

$$= \sum \langle \ell | BA | \ell \rangle = \text{tr}(BA),$$

and thus basis-indep: $\text{tr}(x) = \text{tr}(u^t u x) = \text{tr}(u x u^t)$. (19)

ρ_A is called density operator or density matrix, or mixed state.

It characterizes systems where we only have partial knowledge.

Properties of ρ_A :

• $\rho_A = C C^t \Rightarrow \rho_A^t = (C C^t)^t = C C^t = \rho_A$

• ρ_A is positive semi-definite (= all eigenvalues ≥ 0), $\rho_A \geq 0$.

$$\langle \phi | \rho_A | \phi \rangle = \langle \phi | C C^t | \phi \rangle = (C^t | \phi \rangle)^t (C^t | \phi \rangle) \geq 0 \quad \forall | \phi \rangle.$$

• $\text{tr}(\rho_A) = \sum_i (C C^t)_{ii} = \sum_{ij} c_{ij} c_{ij}^* = \langle \psi | \psi \rangle = 1.$

Properties of density operators:

• $\rho_A^t = \rho_A$
• $\rho_A \geq 0$
• $\text{tr}(\rho_A) = 1$

Note: Consequence: For $0 < p < 1$, ρ, ρ' density ops, $p\rho + (1-p)\rho'$ is also density op \Rightarrow density ops form convex set!

Is ρ_A uniquely determined by

$$\text{tr}[\Pi \rho_A] = \langle \psi |_{AB} \Pi \otimes I | \psi \rangle_{AB} ?$$

Yes: $\text{tr}[X^\dagger Y]$ is scalar product, & overlap of ρ_A w/ all herm. Π determines herm. part of ρ_A entirely!

(Consequence: All numbers in ρ_A meaningful \rightarrow no phase ambiguity!)

What is ρ_A for pure state $|\phi\rangle_A$?

$$\langle \phi | \Pi | \phi \rangle = \text{tr}[\langle \phi | \Pi | \phi \rangle] = \text{tr}[\Pi |\phi\rangle\langle\phi|]$$

$$\Rightarrow \rho = |\phi\rangle\langle\phi| \quad (\text{projector onto } |\phi\rangle).$$

Partial trace:

Given general state ρ_{AB} in $A+B$ (e.g. $\rho_{AB} = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$), what is descr. of meas Π_A in A ?

$$\text{tr}[(\Pi \otimes I) \rho_{AB}] = \sum_{i'j', ij'} \langle ij' | \Pi \otimes I | i'j' \rangle \langle i'j' | \rho_{AB} | ij' \rangle$$

$$= \sum \langle i | \Pi | i' \rangle \langle i'j' | \rho_{AB} | ij' \rangle = \text{tr}[\Pi \cdot \rho_A],$$

Is ρ_A uniquely determined by

$$\text{tr}[\Pi \rho_A] = \langle \psi |_{AB} \Pi \otimes I | \psi \rangle_{AB} ?$$

Yes: $\text{tr}[X^\dagger Y]$ is scalar product, & overlap of ρ_A w/ all herm. Π determines herm. part of ρ_A entirely!

(Consequence: All numbers in ρ_A meaningful \rightarrow no phase ambiguity!)

What is ρ_A for pure state $|\phi\rangle_A$?

$$\langle \phi | \Pi | \phi \rangle = \text{tr}[\langle \phi | \Pi | \phi \rangle] = \text{tr}[\Pi |\phi\rangle\langle\phi|]$$

$$\Rightarrow \rho = |\phi\rangle\langle\phi| \quad (\text{projector onto } |\phi\rangle).$$

Partial trace:

Given general state ρ_{AB} in $A+B$ (e.g. $\rho_{AB} = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$), what is descr. of meas Π_A in A ?

$$\text{tr}[(\Pi \otimes I) \rho_{AB}] = \sum_{i'j', ij'} \langle ij' | \Pi \otimes I | i'j' \rangle \langle i'j' | \rho_{AB} | ij' \rangle$$

$$= \sum \langle i | \Pi | i' \rangle \langle i'j' | \rho_{AB} | ij' \rangle = \text{tr}[\Pi \cdot \rho_A],$$

When we def. the partial trace

(21)

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_A &= \sum_j |i'\rangle \langle i'j| \rho_{AB} |ij\rangle \langle i| \\ &= \sum_j (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \langle j|_B) (\rho_{AB}) (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes |j\rangle_B) \\ &= \sum_j \langle j|_B \rho_{AB} |j\rangle_B \\ &=: \underline{\underline{\text{tr}_B \rho_{AB}}}\end{aligned}$$

(In components: $(\text{tr}_B \rho_{AB})_{ii'} = \sum_j (\rho_{AB})_{(ij)} (i'j)$)

Is any density matrix physical?

Take $\rho = \sum \lambda_i |\phi_i\rangle \langle \phi_i|$ eigenval. decomp.; and

let $|\psi\rangle_{AB} = \sum_i \sqrt{\lambda_i} |\phi_i\rangle_A |i\rangle_B$ ("purification" of ρ)

$$\begin{aligned}\Rightarrow \text{tr}_B [|\psi\rangle_{AB} \langle \psi|_{AB}] &= \text{tr}_B \left[\sum \sqrt{\lambda_i} \sqrt{\lambda_j} |\phi_i\rangle \langle \phi_j| \otimes |i\rangle \langle j| \right] \\ &= \sum \lambda_i |\phi_i\rangle \langle \phi_i| = \rho \Rightarrow \underline{\underline{\text{yes}}}\checkmark\end{aligned}$$

Density matrix can serve as alternative definition of state.

Ensemble interpretation of density matrix

(22)

Consider $|\psi\rangle_{AB} = \alpha|00\rangle + \beta|11\rangle$

$$\Rightarrow \rho_A = \begin{pmatrix} |\alpha|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & |\beta|^2 \end{pmatrix} = |\alpha|^2 |0\rangle\langle 0| + |\beta|^2 |1\rangle\langle 1|$$

$$\text{tr}[\pi \rho_A] = |\alpha|^2 \text{tr}[\pi |0\rangle\langle 0|] + |\beta|^2 \text{tr}[\pi |1\rangle\langle 1|]$$

\Rightarrow Can be interpreted as having $|0\rangle$ w/ prob. $p_0 = |\alpha|^2$

& $|1\rangle$ w/ prob. $p_1 = |\beta|^2$. "ensemble interpretation"

\Rightarrow Is this consistent w/ pure state $|\psi\rangle_{AB}$?

Let B do proj. meas. in 2 basis:

$$\begin{array}{l} |\psi\rangle = \alpha|00\rangle + \beta|11\rangle \\ \begin{array}{l} p_0 = |\alpha|^2 \rightarrow |\psi_0\rangle_A = |0\rangle_A \\ \text{2 meas.} \\ \text{on B} \\ p_1 = |\beta|^2 \rightarrow |\psi_1\rangle_A = |1\rangle_A \end{array} \end{array}$$

\Rightarrow Alice doesn't know outcome \Rightarrow ensemble

$$\{(p_0; |0\rangle), (p_1; |1\rangle)\} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} |\alpha|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & |\beta|^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

(Note: Bob's description is different: he knows outcome and would describe his state as either $|0\rangle\langle 0|$ or $|1\rangle\langle 1|$)

But: Bob could also meas. in $|\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle \pm |1\rangle)$ (23)
 6 ans!

$$|\psi\rangle = \alpha|00\rangle + \beta|11\rangle$$

X meas.

$$P_+ = \frac{|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$P_- = \frac{|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$|\psi_+\rangle_A = \frac{\alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle}{|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2}$$

$$|\psi_-\rangle_A = \frac{\alpha|0\rangle - \beta|1\rangle}{|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2}$$

not orthogonal!

Different ensemble $\rho_A = P_+ |\psi_+\rangle\langle\psi_+| + P_- |\psi_-\rangle\langle\psi_-|$

for same state \Rightarrow ens. interpretation ambiguous!

(Number of terms can vary (\rightarrow HW!), non-ortho. states as $|\psi_{\pm}\rangle, \dots$)

How are diff. ensembles related?

Note: Not orthogonal, just $\langle\psi_i|\psi_i\rangle = 1$

Theorem: Let $\rho = \sum p_i |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i| = \sum g_j |\phi_j\rangle\langle\phi_j|$

Then, there exists a unitary $U = (u_{ij})$ s.t.

$$\sqrt{p_i} |\psi_i\rangle = \sum_j u_{ij} \sqrt{g_j} |\phi_j\rangle,$$

and vice versa. (If there are less j 's than i 's, pad with zeros, and vice versa.)

Proof: " \Leftarrow ": let $\sqrt{p_i} |\psi_i\rangle = \sum_j u_{ij} \sqrt{q_j} |\phi_j\rangle$. (24)

Then $\sum_i p_i |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i| = \sum_i \left(\sum_j u_{ij} \sqrt{q_j} |\phi_j\rangle \right) \left(\sum_{j'} u_{ij'}^* \sqrt{q_{j'}} \langle \phi_{j'}| \right)$

$$= \sum_{j, j'} \sqrt{q_j q_{j'}} |\phi_j\rangle \langle \phi_{j'}| \underbrace{\left(\sum_i u_{ij'}^* u_{ij} \right)}_{= \delta_{j, j'}}$$
$$= \sum_j q_j |\phi_j\rangle \langle \phi_j|.$$

" \Rightarrow ": Homework / see later (equiv. of purification).

4. Schmidt decomposition and purifications

Given $|\psi\rangle_{AB}$ separable, let

$$\text{tr}_B |\psi\rangle \langle \psi| = \rho_A = \sum_i p_i |i\rangle_A \langle i|_A$$

with $|i\rangle_A$ eigenbasis (ONB) ("abuse" of notation...)

Choose some ONB $|a_j\rangle_B$ of B , expand

$$|\psi\rangle_{AB} = \sum_{i, j} c_{ij} |i\rangle_A |a_j\rangle_B$$
$$= \sum_i |i\rangle_A \left(\sum_j c_{ij} |a_j\rangle_B \right) =: |s_i\rangle; \quad \underline{\underline{\text{no ONB}}}$$